UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,168	04/08/2006	Markus Anliker	TME-2217	2243
	7590 07/12/201 E <b>NBERG STEMER</b> LI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2480		STEPHENS III, JOSE S		
HOLL I WOOL	O, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3728		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,168	ANLIKER, MARKUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOSE S. STEPHENS III	3728		

	303E 3. 31 EFTIENS III	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE r).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the content of t	ter form for appeal by materially red		he issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finding reje	oted daims.					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ul e een bi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but  See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Ehud Gartenberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728	/Jose S Stephens/ Examiner, Art Unit 3728						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Mumford does not disclose anything is disposed in the chamber is not persuasive. Figure 1 of Mumford shows objects in the second chamber, and the area designated as the chamber in the previous office action is clearly capable of containing a suppository. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place a suppository or something of similar size in the chamber. Applicant's argument that the chamber of Mumford is not sealed is not persuasive because Claim 1 does not claim that any chamber is sealed. Mumford states "the rearward portion of sidewalls 18 of lid 12 are provided with complementary downward and outwardly sloping, opposed cam surfaces 50 which, when the container is in a closed position, closely abut or even contact surfaces 48 in a generally flush-like manner" which means the entire container can be sealed.